

## Post-syntactic altruism

Emily Clem (UC San Diego), Nicholas Rolle (Princeton University), and Virginia Dawson (UC Berkeley)  
 It has been observed that narrow syntactic movement can be altruistic, with an element  $\alpha$  undergoing movement to satisfy the requirements of a distinct element  $\beta$ , rather than the needs of the moving element  $\alpha$  itself (Lasnik 1995, 2003; Zyman 2017, a.o.). In this paper, we argue based on evidence from morpheme inversion and doubling in Tiwa (Tibeto-Burman; India) that post-syntactic movement can also be altruistic. We demonstrate that, in Tiwa, the positional requirement of one morpheme can cause a different morpheme to undergo inversion or doubling. Thus, the inverting/doubling morpheme moves altruistically.

**Tiwa agreement allomorphy.** We focus on Tiwa verbal morphology, (1).

- (1) [root-ASP/NEG-TENSE-AGR]

The only agreement suffix is 1SG, with two allomorphs: *-âng* and *-ng*. Jose (2014) attributes agreement allomorphy to phonology: *-âng* appears after consonants, *-ng* after vowels. However, this account makes an unexpected prediction with the realization of 1SG after *-w* NEUT (aspect). The expected form after *-w* would be *-âng* in examples like (2). Instead, *-ng* surfaces, with NEUT unexpressed (due to a ban on wC codas).

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| (2) /root-NEUT-NPST-AGR/<br>/lí-w-Ø- <b>ng</b> /    [líng]    *[líwâng]<br>‘I will go’ | (3) /root-NEUT-PST-AGR/<br>/lí-w-m- <b>âng</b> /    [líwmâng]<br>‘I would have gone’ |
|--|--|

Further scrutiny of Tiwa morphology reveals that the *-âng* allomorph only appears after *-m* PST, (3). We therefore attribute the *-âng/-ng* allomorphy to non-phonological factors: *-âng* exclusively appears after *-m* PST, while *-ng* appears elsewhere.

**Inversion and doubling.** Evidence for the close relationship between *-âng* and *-m* PST comes from focus-marked contexts. Focus clitics (*-bo*, *-se*, *-lo*) are typically merged outside of agreement, (4a). However, FOC can instead adjoin to a smaller structure, appearing *inside* agreement. In such instances, two special patterns emerge: either PST inverts with FOC, (4b), or PST doubles appearing both before and after FOC, (4c).

- |   |             |
|---|-------------|
| (4) ‘I would have gone’   |             |
| a. /root-NEUT-PST-AGR-FOC/<br>/lí-w- <b>m</b> -âng-bo/    [líwmângbo]                                     | No Movement |
| b. /root-NEUT-PST-FOC-AGR/<br>/lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo-âng/ → lí-w-bo- <b>m</b> -âng    [líwbomâng]            | Inversion   |
| c. /root-NEUT-PST-FOC-AGR/<br>/lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo-âng/ → lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo- <b>m</b> -âng    [límbomâng] | Doubling    |

In all patterns, *-âng* appears directly after *-m* PST. The surface order PST-FOC-AGR, with *-ng* (i.e. \*/...m-bo-*ng*/) or *-âng* (i.e. \*/...m-bo-*âng*/), is unattested.

Crucially, inversion/doubling occur only in the presence of *-âng*. All non-1SG contexts in Tiwa have no overt agreement exponents. In these contexts, *-m* PST never appears after FOC, with or without doubling:

- (5) ‘(S)he would have gone’
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| a. /root-NEUT-PST-FOC/<br>/lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo/    [límbo]                                |  |
| b. /root-NEUT-PST-FOC/<br>/lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo/ ↯ *lí-w-bo- <b>m</b> *[líwbom]            |  |
| c. /root-NEUT-PST-FOC/<br>/lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo/ ↯ *lí-w- <b>m</b> -bo- <b>m</b> *[límbom] |  |

**VI: Conditions on insertion.** We analyze Tiwa allomorphy with the contextual realization rules in (6).

- (6) a. [1SG] ↔ -âng / [PST]\_\_  
 b.                    -ng / elsewhere

We assume that the context of insertion need not be evaluated in terms of strict adjacency (Merchant 2015; Moskal 2015; Božič to appear, a.o.). Thus intervening FOC does not prevent realization as *-âng*, (4b)-(4c).

**Post-VI: Conditions on position.** Independent of realization rules, vocabulary items can have ‘conditions on position’, which are evaluated after VI and govern the surface location of morphs post-syntactically. The condition on position for *-âng* is that it be immediately preceded by *-m* PST. We analyze inversion and doubling as post-exponence repairs to satisfy this positional requirement of *-âng*: when FOC intervenes, *-m* inverts with FOC or doubles to create adjacency with *-âng*. The positional requirement that triggers inversion/doubling is a feature of *-âng*, but the undergoer of inversion/doubling is a different morph, *-m*. We refer to this situation as *altruistic* inversion and doubling.

This post-spell-out altruistic movement can be generated by assuming that operations such as inversion/doubling are freely available but only selected if they are output-optimizing, such as to satisfy a condition on position. To capture the Tiwa patterns, we utilize the constraints in (7).

- (7) a. POSITION: Conditions on position for each morph are satisfied in the output  
 b. INTEGRITY-IO(MORPH): No morph in the input has multiple correspondents in the output (adapted from McCarthy & Prince 1995)  
 c. LINEARITY-IO(MORPH): The precedence structure of the morphs in the input is reflected in the output (adapted from Kager 1999:63, a.o.)  
 d. ANCHOR-R(MORPH, WORD): A morph at the right edge of a word in the input has a correspondent at the right edge in the output (adapted from Yip 2002:161)

This OT analysis is illustrated in (8). Both inversion, (8b), and doubling, (8c), emerge as possible outputs.

(8)

Input: /lí-w-m-bo-âng/		POS	ANCH	LIN	INT
a. lí-w-m-bo-âng	fully faithful	*!			
b. lí-w-bo-m-âng	<i>-m</i> inversion			*	
c. lí-w-m-bo-m-âng	<i>-m</i> doubling				*
d. lí-w-m-âng-bo-âng	<i>-âng</i> doubling	*!			*
e. lí-w-m-âng-bo	<i>-âng</i> inversion		*!	*	

Even though *-âng* possesses the condition on position, *-m* undergoes inversion/doubling altruistically due to a high ranked constraint (ANCH) against inverting *-âng* because it is at the right edge.

**Comparison to “greedy” inversion/doubling.** We compare the altruistic patterns found in Tiwa to inversion/doubling in dialectal Spanish (Arregi & Nevins 2018). The ‘standard’ morph order in verbs is plural inflection before enclitics, (9a), but dialects have innovated plural inversion, (9b), and doubling, (9c).

- (9) ‘Sit down!’ (Arregi & Nevins 2018: 626)
- a. /root-PL-ENCL/ Standard Order  
 /síente-n-se/
- b. /root-PL-ENCL/ Inversion  
 /síente-n-se/ → síente-se-n
- c. /root-PL-ENCL/ Doubling  
 /síente-n-se/ → síente-n-se-n

The interesting difference between the Spanish and Tiwa cases is that in Spanish, inversion/doubling is triggered by the target of the operation itself. The plural *-n* has a second-position requirement within the post-verbal clitic group, which can be satisfied by any second-position occurrence of *-n* (Arregi & Nevins 2018). This causes *-n* to invert or double to meet this positional requirement. In Tiwa, however, the positional requirement of *-âng* triggers the altruistic inversion/doubling of *-m*.

**Conclusions.** This case study of Tiwa demonstrates that altruism in the post-syntax can arise via conditions on position and competing constraints. The positional condition of *-âng* serves to bring about adjacency between the trigger (*-m*) and target (*-âng*) of allomorphy (reflecting the ‘locality bias’ in allomorphy; Božič to appear). However, the constraint ANCH prevents *-âng* from moving to satisfy its own condition on position, instead causing *-m* to move altruistically. Thus, just as narrow syntactic movement can be either greedy or altruistic, so can post-syntactic movement operations such as inversion and doubling.